



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,205	06/25/2003	Hirotomi Nemoto	OCA-178-A	4357
21828 75	590 09/27/2004		EXAMINER	
CARRIER BLACKMAN AND ASSOCIATES 24101 NOVI ROAD			TORRES, MELANIE	
SUITE 100	OND		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NOVI, MI 48	375		3683	

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1		Application No.	Applicant(s)	S
Office Action Summary		10/606,205	NEMOTO, HIROTOMI	D
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Melanie Torres	3683	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Ju	<u>ıly 2004</u> .		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) <u>11</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1 and 5-7</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>2-4 and 8-10</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) 🔲 a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachmen		Λ\	(DTO 442)	
2) Notic 3) Infor	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Muramatsu et al.

Re claim 1, Muramatsu discloses a method for controlling the drive of an actuator of an active vibration isolation support system that includes an elastic body (16) receiving a load from a vibrating body (18), a liquid chamber (54) having a wall of which at least a part is formed from the elastic body, a movable member (48) that moves out and back to change the capacity of the liquid chamber in a cycle, and an actuator (74) that receives a supply of current to generate an electromagnetic force for moving the movable member out, the method comprising the step of: controlling the current supplied to the actuator such that the current passing through the actuator becomes zero at least when the movable member has moved back. (Column 16, line 65 – Column 17, line 17)

Art Unit: 3683

3. Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Nemoto et al.

The applied reference has a common inventor and assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claim 11 is allowed.
- 5. Claims 2-4, and 8-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed July 2, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,205

Art Unit: 3683

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Applicant argues that Muramatsu et al. do not teach wherein the operation of the actuator is "unrelated to the position of the movable member within the damping system." However, this limitation is not claimed and is more specific than the current claim language. Applicant claims "controlling the current supplied to the actuator such that the current passing through the actuator becomes zero at least when the movable member has moved back." It is the examiner's position that the current would be zero when the moveable member 48 has "moved back" because it would be in its original position and the actuator 74 would no longer be active. Therefore, the rejection is maintained.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/606,205 Page 5

Art Unit: 3683

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melanie Torres whose telephone number is (703)305-0293. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Lavinder can be reached on (703)308-3421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PATENT EXAMINER

MT

September 15, 2004